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1. **Chair’s Remarks**

The Chair welcomed members and guests to the meeting and introduced the members who participated in the meeting by teleconference.

The Chair shared an *Update on the Revised Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects*. He reported that the *Policy* was expected to be considered by the Executive Committee on June 27th before being forwarded to the Governing Council for approval. He thanked Council members for their input on the *Policy*.

2. **Report of the Vice-President and Principal**

The Chair invited Professor Bruce Kidd, Vice-President and Principal to introduce the student presentation.

   a. **Student Presentation – Fit Breaks**

   Ms. Alyona Koulanova and Mr. Ary Maharaj, both recent UTSC graduates, explained the Fit Break initiative. Their presentation included a physical demonstration and an explanation of their study, which examined the benefits of physical activity and its relationship to academic performance, mental health and overall well-being. They reported that three courses had participated in the study. The data suggested a positive trend in decreasing stress as a result of participating in a Fit Break.

   b. **Principal’s Report**

   Professor Kidd reported on the resolution of the recent strike between Aramark and Unite Here Local 75 that resulted in an agreement for significantly improved wages and benefits. The agreement was ratified on Friday, April 7th, and the employees returned to work on April 10th.

   Principal Kidd reported that there were plans for an Aboriginal Advisory Committee, which would advise on indigenous initiatives at UTSC, including plans to develop a First Nations House at UTSC. The Co-Chairs of the TRC recently made a special presentation on the recommendations to senior leaders at UTSC. In July, UTSC would be hosting the North American Indigenous Games (NAIG) where four specific events would take place.

   Principal Kidd also reported that a number of projects, initiatives and events were underway for the Sesquicentennial, which would both celebrate and challenge what it meant to be Canadian. The Doris McCarthy Gallery would be hosting an exhibit called, “Unsettling”, which would encourage new stories and narratives.

   Principal Kidd concluded his report with an update on the Healthy Campus Initiatives and announced that a newly designed website would provide links to initiatives, events, programming and resources with an objective to raise awareness and generate interest.
3. The University’s Budget Incorporating UTSC’s Budget Envelope

The Chair welcomed and introduced Vice-President and Provost, Professor Cheryl Regehr and Mr. Trevor Rodgers, Associate Director, Planning and Budget, to present the University’s Budget Incorporating UTSC’s Budget Envelope.

The presentation addressed the following themes: Strategic Mandate Agreements, 2017-2018 Operating Budget, Students and Teaching, Faculty, Staff and University-wide Costs, Funding Sources, Student Aid, University Fund and Opportunities and Risks. The highlights included the following points:

- **Strategic Mandate Agreement (SMA)** – The SMAs were bi-lateral agreements between the province of Ontario and 45 publically assisted colleges and universities. The SMAs were part of the implementation strategy for Ontario’s differentiation policy, and SMA1 was due to expire at the end of 2016-17. The University of Toronto would be negotiating its SMA2 with the Province in 2017. The SMA2 agreements would be an evolution of the first agreements and would introduce funding formula redesign in a revenue neutral state. Differentiation policies would become operational in SMA3 and begin in 2020.

- **2017-2018 Operating Budget** – The balanced institutional operating budget for the University in 2017-18 was $2.47B with $273M allocated to UTSC. 61 percent of revenues were generated from student fees, 27 percent from Operating Grants and 12 percent from Other Revenue. Compensation cost accounted for 62 percent of expenses followed with Student Aid 8 percent, Capital & Equipment 7 percent, Occupancy costs 7 percent, and Other Expenses 16 percent.

- **Students and Teaching** – The total institutional undergraduate enrolment for 2016-17 was 61,262 and 10,747 at UTSC. Entering averages for direct entry undergraduate programs continued to increase. In 2016, at an institutional level, there were 14,467 international undergraduate students, of which, 2,395 were UTSC students (i.e. 18.2 percent of UTSC’s undergraduate enrolment). In 2016-17 there were 287 full-time equivalent (FTE) graduate students at UTSC.

- **Faculty, Staff and University-wide Costs** - The 2017-18 estimated compensation budget was $1.54B. At UTSC, preliminary faculty and staff hiring plans continued to increase to 2021-22. In 2017-18 University Wide Costs (UWC) were $552M, and pension special payment were $107M with an incremental increase of $5M each year up to 2021-22.

- **Funding Sources** – The UTSC 2017-18 sources of revenue, (i.e. $288M) were generated by student fees (69 percent), the provincial operating grant (25 percent) and other sources of revenue (6 percent).

---

1 Presentation – The University’s Budget Incorporating UTSC’s Budget Envelope
- **Student Aid** – In 2015-16 $193M was spent on student aid expenses (i.e. UTAPS, bursaries, graduate fellowships, merit awards etc.). This included $65.8M spent on the student access guarantee, of which, $30.2M was spent beyond what was required of the University. Change to the Ontario Financial Aid system (OSAP) would result in reduced complexities, increased transparency and more timely decisions.

- **University Fund (UF)** – In 2017-18 $18M was allocated for advancing University priorities which included: undergraduate and international experience, diversity and Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) related supports, cities initiatives, and structural budget and infrastructure. At UTSC, UF funding was allocated to hiring two International Recruitment and Student Mobility Support Staff and one and a half Wellness Counsellors.

- **Budget Summary–Opportunities and Risks** – In the 2017-18 operating budget opportunities to leverage the University’s location still existed and were a continuing priority of President Meric Gertler. Throughout SMA2, more graduate enrolment was possible due to the University’s strong research focus and international rankings, and the value of the Canadian dollar had made the University more attractive to international students. Challenges were present in the structural deficit, pension solvency, funding for graduate student growth, and the Canadian dollar posed a challenge for the procurement of goods and services.

In response to a comment regarding a positive trend in entrance averages, Professor Regehr and Professor Gough commented that they were both committed to offering scholarships to students with exceptional entrance averages.

A member suggested that the presentation include an explanation on what the impact would be if a tuition increase was not approved. Professor Regehr acknowledged the suggestion and took it under consideration.

4. **Extra-Departmental Unit B (EDU:B), Interdisciplinary Centre for Health and Society**

The Chair invited Professor William Gough, Vice-Principal and Dean to present the proposal for the Interdisciplinary Centre for Health and Society.

Professor Gough shared a presentation that included information on the types of Extra-Departmental Units (EDU) at the University and the proposal for the EDU: B Interdisciplinary Centre for Health and Society (ICHS). The presentation included the following highlights:

- An external review completed in 2016 recommended the program move from the Department of Anthropology to an independent unit;

---

2 Presentation- Extra-Departmental Unit B (EDU: B), Interdisciplinary Centre for Health and Society (ICHS)
• At the University, EDU’s were governed under the Guidelines for Administrative Functions and Protocols for Extra-Departmental Units, which offer a framework for the establishment and operation of EDU’s;

• Four types of EDU’s currently exist at the University:
  o EDU: A- Unit where faculty held major appointments (i.e. >51 percent) and could offer degree programs (e.g. Centre for French and Linguistics);
  o EDU: B- Units where faculty did not hold primary appointments (i.e. <49 percent), but could offer degree programs (e.g. Centre for Critical Development Studies);
  o EDU: C- Multi-department research clusters, which could not make or hold budgetary appointments, but could offer specialized courses and graduate collaborative specializations (e.g. Culinaria); and
  o EDU: D- Research clusters often within a single department that could offer courses (e.g. Centre for Ethnography)

The Chair invited Mr. Larry Whatmore, Chair of the UTSC Campus Affairs Committee, to provide a summary of the deliberations that took place at the recent UTSC Campus Affairs Committee meeting. Mr. Whatmore commented that a robust discussion took place. Questions were asked about the long term goals of the ICHS. It was noted that the ICHS planned to progress into an EDU: A or Department when the Centre had reached a more balanced faculty complement. The CAC recommended this item for approval.

A member asked whether the program duplicated any other program at the University. Professor Holly Wardlow, Associate Chair, Health Studies, explained that a Health Sciences and Biology program did exist at University College and while there was some overlap, the program at UTSC was uniquely different by offering courses in humanistic health.

A member asked what the disadvantage would be if the new structure was not approved. Professor Gough indicated that the program would continue within the Department of Anthropology. However, he emphasized that the External Reviewers strongly recommended that the program function as an independent unit.

In response to a question regarding the percentage of appointments in an EDU: A and EDU: B, Professor Gough indicated that faculty in an EDU: A could have more than 51 percent of their appointment in that unit, while faculty in an EDU: B had 49 percent or less of their appointment in the unit.

In response to a question regarding research grants, Professor Gough explained that both research grants could be administered in an EDU: A and EDU: B.

On motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

YOUR COUNCIL RECOMMENDS,
THAT the establishment of the Interdisciplinary Centre for Health and Society as an Extra-Departmental Unit B (EDU:B), as described in the proposal recommended by the Vice-Principal Academic and Dean, Professor William Gough, dated March 1, 2017, be approved, effective July 1, 2017.

5. **Capital Project: Report of the Project Planning Committee for a New Student Residence at the University of Toronto Scarborough**

The Chair invited Mr. Andrew Arifuzzaman, Chief Administrative Officer, to present the Report of the Project Planning Committee for a New Student Residence at the University of Toronto Scarborough to the Committee. Mr. Arifuzzaman’s presentation included the following highlights:

- The current housing stock at UTSC did not meet the current or future residence demands of the campus;
- The new residence was expected to be a 10 storey building with 750 beds for primarily first year undergraduate students;
- Amenities in the new residence included: suites for residence advisors, coordinators, and visiting scholars, laundry and kitchen spaces, academic and administrative offices, social event space, and a dining hall;
- The proposed site for the new residence was the east portion of Parking Lot F, as well as lands east of the parking lot;
- The SCION Group was commissioned in October 2016 to offer advice on delivering meaningful residence experiences to students’ in their pursuit of academic success; They provided advice on room configuration and ratios, space requirements, floor plans, and rental rates;
- Plans were being developed to incorporate UTSC ancillary operations in the form of retail space (i.e. greengrocer), summer conference space, and eateries;
- Sustainable construction methods would include a Passive House energy efficiency system for heating and cooling, which would reduce energy consumption by 80-90 percent as well as the carbon footprint for the campus; and
- Completion of construction was planned for March 2020, with design and construction beginning in Fall 2017.

The Chair invited Mr. Larry Whatmore, Chair of the UTSC Campus Affairs Committee, to introduce the item and to provide a summary of the deliberations that took place at the recent meeting of the UTSC Campus Affairs Committee (CAC). He indicated that many of the questions focused on the design and structure of the building along with some finance-related one.

---

3 Presentation – Capital Project: Report of the Project Planning Committee for a New Student Residence at the University of Toronto Scarborough
On motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COUNCIL RECOMMENDS,

1. THAT the Project Planning Committee Report for the University of Toronto Scarborough New Student Residence, dated February 21, 2016, be approved in principle; and

2. THAT the project scope of 14,321 net assignable square metres (nasm) (25,061 gross square metres (gsm)), consisting of 750 residence beds, a dining hall, amenity space, and retail space be approved in principle - with partial funding to be provided through an investor equity contribution, and with construction financing to be provided by the University of Toronto.


The report of the previous meeting was approved.

7. Business Arising from the Report of the Previous Meeting

There was no business arising from the report of the previous meeting.

8. Reports for Information

Members received the following reports for information:

   a) Report Number 24 of the UTSC Agenda Committee (Monday, April 3, 2017)
   b) Report Number 23 of the UTSC Academic Affairs Committee (Tuesday, February 28, 2017)
   c) Report Number 24 of the UTSC Campus Affairs Committee (Tuesday, March 28, 2017)
   d) Report Number 23 of the UTSC Campus Affairs Committee (Wednesday, March 22, 2017)

9. Date of the Next Meeting – Thursday, May 25, 2017 at 4:10 p.m.

The Chair reminded members that the next scheduled meeting of the Campus Council would be held on Thursday, May 25, 2017 at 4:10 p.m.

10. Question Period

No questions were raised.

11. Other Business
No other business was raised.

The Council moved in camera.

**IN CAMERA SESSION**

12. **Capital Project: University of Toronto Scarborough New Student Residence – Report of the Project Planning Committee, Total Project Cost and Sources of Funding.**

On motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COUNCIL RECOMMENDS,

THAT the recommendation regarding the total project cost and sources of funding for the New Student Residence at the University of Toronto Scarborough outlined in the documentation from Mr. Andrew Arifuzzaman, Chief Administrative Officer, dated March 22, 2017, be approved.

13. **Appointments: 2017-2018 UTSC Campus Council Community Members**

On motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COUNCIL APPROVED,

1. THAT Mr. Fareed Amin be appointed to serve a three-year term on the UTSC Campus Council effective July 1, 2017; and

2. THAT Mr. Keith Chen be reappointed to serve a three-year term on the UTSC Campus Affairs Committee, effective July 1, 2017.

The Council returned to open session.

The meeting adjourned at 6:18 p.m.

_________________________________________  __________________________
Secretary                                          Chair
Students get to **learn and experience** the benefits of physical activity for academic performance, mental health, and overall well-being.

Create a **campus culture** that emphasizes the overall health of its students.
WHY IN THE CLASSROOM? RETENTION + PERSISTENCE

Key findings from studies that examined the impact of campus recreation, student development, and academic success:

- First-year students who use recreation centers in their first semester have higher first-semester grade point averages and persistence rate compared to non-users (Betch et al., 2001).
- Participation in recreational sport activities has shown positive contributions to academic achievement and persistence rate (Hackett, 2007; NIRSA, 2002).

Implications:

- If physical activity is altered during transition, it may have physical and psychological consequences on student health.
- A decline in physical activity during the first few months of university may lead to a pattern of inactivity that persists not only throughout students’ university years, but also beyond graduation (Shay & Barr, 2004).

U OF T VS. OTHER CANADIAN INSTITUTIONS

CLASSES ENJOYING FIT BREAKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full-Term Commitment (6)</th>
<th>One-Time Commitment (5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSCA08</td>
<td>BIOB34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYC37</td>
<td>CSCA48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGTA02</td>
<td>MGOC20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLTA03</td>
<td>COPD04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINC47/FREC47</td>
<td>FREB45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREB55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREB85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREA42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOW ARE WE MEASURING OUR SUCCESS?

- Currently running a U of T REB approved study in CSCA08, MGTA02, & HLTA03
- Dr. Harrington (Dept. of Computer Science) & Dr. Jessica Dere (Dept. of Psychology)
- Measuring:
  - Pedagogical impact of the program
  - Attitudes towards physical activity (self-report)
  - Level of Physical Activity (captured by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire)
  - Stress (captured by the Perceived Stress Survey)
  - Overall well-being (captured by the Satisfaction with Life Survey)

STUDENT FEEDBACK

Incorporating the Fit-Break activities into the lecture is a valuable addition.

Not all courses incorporate Fit-Breaks into lecture in future semesters.

QUESTIONS?

“We must continue to strive for the longer term goal: the integration of physical activity into our daily lives”

Das & Horton (2016) in The Lancet
Program mix differs significantly between the three campuses, with a higher proportion of professional and graduate programs at the St. George campus.

---

### Strategic Mandate Agreements

- **Basis for Ontario's differentiation policy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>SMA1 (14-17)</th>
<th>SMA2 (17-20)</th>
<th>SMA3 (20-23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>UofT’s distinct role in Ontario</td>
<td>Negotiations spring 2017</td>
<td>Operationalize differentiation metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Graduate spaces</td>
<td>Funding formula redesign</td>
<td>Revenue neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Conversion of teacher ed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Provincial Funding Formula Review

(anticipated funding envelopes)

- **Core Operating Grant** (Enrolment Based)
- **Differentiation Envelope** (linked to SMA metrics)
- **Special Purpose Grants**

Revenue neutral change through SMA2 with negotiated growth targets.

Potential for funding changes to the Differentiation Envelope in SMA3.

---

### 2017-18 Operating Budget $2.47B

- **St. George**
  - $1.89 billion
  - 55,130 Students
  - 2,683 Faculty
  - 4,798 Staff
  - 635,601 NASM
- **Mississauga**
  - $308 million
  - 12,336 Students
  - 351 Faculty
  - 665 Staff
  - 90,630 NASM
- **Scarborough**
  - $273 million
  - 10,826 Students
  - 341 Faculty
  - 649 Staff
  - 90,630 NASM
Balanced Budget for 2017-18 - $2.47 billion

Students and Teaching

Trend in 18-20 year-old Ontario population

Indexed to 2015=100

Distribution of 2016 Entering Averages
(excluding top and bottom 5%, with 2011 Fifth Percentile for Comparison)
Divisional undergraduate international share in 2016

Total 2016 international UG students = 14,467

Area of Study | 2016-17 FTE | % Int’l
--- | --- | ---
Arts & Humanities | 1,746 | 18%
Social Sciences | 3,331 | 14%
Management | 1,392 | 34%
Life Sciences | 1,314 | 5%
Other Sciences | 2,965 | 22%
TOTAL | 10,747 | 19%

5-year undergraduate growth plan (FTE)

Masters – Planned Growth over SMA1 (Fall Eligible FTE)

7,925 spaces approved via SMA1
### 2016-17 UTSC Graduate Enrolment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>2016-17 FTE</th>
<th>Projected 2021-22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof Masters (Env Sci; Acct &amp; Fin)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS Masters UTSC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS Masters tri-campus *</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD UTSC (Psych &amp; Env Sci)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD tri-campus *</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>287</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As per self-declared code in student system

### Faculty, Staff and University-wide Costs

#### 2017-18 Compensation Budget $1.54B (Est.)

- **Appointed Staff** $573m
- **Appointed Faculty & Librarians** $672m
- **Pension special payment** $191m
- **Other** $191m

#### Preliminary Faculty and Staff Hiring Plans at UTSC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Faculty &amp; Librarians</th>
<th>Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-19</td>
<td>+13</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-20</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-21</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-22</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What makes up university-wide costs?

2017-18 University Wide Costs: $552 million

- Portfolio Operations $284 million (51%)
- Non-discretionary $120 million
- Pension Special Payment $107 million
- Acad & Admin Initiative Funds $41m

UTM/UTSC spend an additional $91m on campus service costs

University-wide costs as % of Revenue

- UTM/UTSC 2017-18 sources of revenue ($288m)

Funding Sources

- Endowed Chairs & Student Aid 0.2%
- Canada Research Chairs 0.3%
- Indirect Costs of Research 0.6%
- Investment Income 1%
- Sales, Service, Surplus Income 3%
- Other Student Fees 5%
- Provincial Operating Grants 25%
- For-Credit Tuition Fees 65%
- Other 6%

Province 25%
Students 69%
The changing revenue landscape (excludes divisional income)

Provincial Tuition fee framework extended 2017-18 and 2018-19

(Domestic overall cap = 3%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incoming Students</th>
<th>Continuing Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic General UG</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Prof and Graduate *</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Domestic tuition fee for doctoral stream will decrease by $70

STUDENT AID EXPENSES

$193 million in 2015-16
Changes to Ontario Financial Aid

- Redesign of financial aid system (OSAP) will be good for students:
  - reduced complexity,
  - increased transparency
  - earlier decisions on available financial aid
- 2017-18 → consolidation of many provincial aid programs into one
- 2018-19 → introduction of net-tuition billing for students in "direct-entry" programs

STUDENT ACCESS GUARANTEE
$65.8 million in 2015-16

Net tuition for UG students receiving OSAP 2014-15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tuition and fees funded by U of T and Province</th>
<th>Tuition and fees paid by student</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts &amp; Science</td>
<td>$3,706</td>
<td>$3,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinesiology</td>
<td>$3,446</td>
<td>$3,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>$8,537</td>
<td>$5,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotman Commerce</td>
<td>$9,021</td>
<td>$6,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine MD</td>
<td>$18,239</td>
<td>$12,146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2017-18 UF $18m: Advancing our Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate &amp; International Experience</th>
<th>Diversity and TRC-Related Supports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL: $3.8 million</td>
<td>TOTAL: $4.95 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Int'l Recruitment &amp; Student Mobility (Support Staff)</strong> $1.5m base</td>
<td><strong>TRC Response-Related Faculty and Staff Hires</strong> $2.5m base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellness Counsellors $1.3m base</td>
<td><strong>TYP Director</strong> $200k base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate Research, WIL, Experiential Learning, Career Development $1m OTO/year for 3 years</td>
<td><strong>Indigenous Space Matching</strong> $1.5m OTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Expansion of Academic Diversity Hires Program</strong> $750k OTO/year for 3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2017-18 UF $18m: Advancing our Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities Initiatives</th>
<th>Structural Budget &amp; Infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL: $2.5 million</td>
<td>TOTAL: $7.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support for cities research initiatives</strong> $1.5m base</td>
<td><strong>Structural budget reserve</strong> $3.5m base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>St. George performance space renewal</strong> $1m OTO</td>
<td><strong>ARCnet</strong> $1.6m base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital matching</strong> $2.0m OTO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2017-18 UF Allocations to UTSC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate &amp; International Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(2) Int'l Recruitment &amp; Student Mobility Support Staff</strong> $200k base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(1.5) Wellness Counsellors</strong> $195k base</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget Summary - Opportunities and Risks

...
Risks
- Structural deficit
- Pension solvency
- Funding for grad growth
- Cdn. $

Opportunities
- Leverage our location
- SMA2-Differentiation
- Operating reserves
- Cdn. $
Health studies

Health Studies (to be renamed Interdisciplinary Centre for Health and Society)
- external review recommended moving from Department of Anthropology to become an independent unit
- moving forward today with an EDU:B proposal

What are EDUs?

Extra-departmental Unit

Policy: Guidelines for Administrative Functions and Protocols of Extra-Departmental Units

Four types of Extra-Departmental Units (EDUs):
A, B, C, D

Some background: EDU:A,Bs

EDU:A -- may hold primary academic appointments (i.e., 51%+), offers degree programs
e.g., Centre for French and Linguistics (CFL)

EDU:B -- may not hold primary academic appointments (all faculty 49% or less), offers degree programs
e.g., Centre for Critical Development Studies (CCDS)

Some background: EDU:C,Ds

EDU:C -- multi-department research clusters, may not make or hold budgetary appointments, may offer courses and graduate collaborative specializations
e.g., Culinaria

EDU:D -- research clusters, often in a single department, may offer courses
e.g., Centre for Ethnography
Approval Process

EDU: As, Bs – must be approved at the Campus Affair Committee. It then goes to Campus Council, Academic Board, Executive Committee and Governing Council.

EDU: Cs must be approved at the divisional level (Campus Affairs Committee and Campus Council)

EDU: Ds are approved at the departmental level
UTSC Student Residence

UTSC Campus Council
April 18, 2017

Key Objectives of this Project

1) Provide appropriate 1st year student experience
2) Meet some of the existing and future residence demand for the University
3) Address the significant and growing concerns of neighbours and political leaders
4) High quality and innovative sustainable design - Design Review Committee consultation for design excellence
5) Optimize amenity and activity space for long-term student value (new and unique academic learning communities)
6) Optimize the business case (create value) for the University in the commercial partnership
7) Optimize the University's control of student life and asset
8) Leverage our ability to influence residence demand

The Project

UTSC has exhausted its physical capacity to satisfy residence demand based on existing facilities (currently 765 beds), and plans to build a new student residence to be located on its Scarborough Campus.

The Residence is expected to include approximately 750 beds and to primarily house first year undergraduate students.

Other facilities** including:
- suites for residence advisors, residence life coordinators and visiting scholars
- lounges, study and study rooms
- academic spaces, administration and services offices
- a student life resource centre
- social events space
- dining hall.

The Residence may also include space for ancillary retail use.

The University is considering including a new multi-level parking structure (approximately 500 spaces) and a cogeneration plant within the scope of the Project.

* Student housing will consist of single and double occupancy bedrooms with a combination of private, semi-private and shared washrooms. Approximately half of the bedrooms will be accessible. Based on the preliminary program, space requirements for student housing are estimated at approximately 9,000 Net Assignable Square Metres.

** Space requirements for all other facilities (as set out above) are estimated at approximately 4,000 NASM.

The Project

Housing Market Study Report

SCION Group: October 2016
Reviewed:
- Room configuration and ratios
- Overall space requirements
- Floor layouts
- Rental rates
- Current market conditions, trends and design
Residence Life and Academic Link

- A first year student residence that will give UTSC the ability to offer a enhanced community identity in the student residence experience

Ancillary Operations

Ancillary:
- Office space—Student Life
- Retail space—Small greengrocer/pharmacy type operator
- Dining facilities—For Res and UTSC Community
- Summer Conference – hotel-like style

Sustainable Construction Methods and Considerations

- Concepts
- Implications for Project cost, operating budget
- Examples:
  - Earth tubes
  - Geothermal system
  - Passive House
  - LEED standards

Passive House
Site and Zoning Considerations

Concept Plan 10 Storeys

Project Timeline

• Development of PDC Documents - Ongoing
• Issuance of RFQ – Spring 2017
• Issuance of D-B RFP– Summer 2017
• Close RFP early– Fall 2017
• Design and Construction – Fall 2017-spring 2020
• Occupancy March 2020

Recommendations

Be It Recommended,

1. THAT the Project Planning Committee Report for the University of Toronto Scarborough New Student Residence, dated February 21, 2017, be approved in principle; and

2. THAT the project scope of 14,321 net assignable square metres (nasm) (25,061 gross square metres (gsm)), consisting of 750 residence beds, a dining hall, amenity space, and retail space be approved in principle - with partial funding to be provided through an investor equity contribution, and with construction financing to be provided by the University of Toronto.
Questions